This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,733	06/28/2001	Brian J. Kamrowski	219.40059X00	3575	
27510	7590 07/28/2004		EXAMINER		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 607 14TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		•	SAIN, GAUTAM		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						\wedge
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	M	1
		09/892,	733	KAMROWSKI ET AL.	1/2	(
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit		
		Gautam		2176		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss	
A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provisior SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no a amunication. (30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a replication of thirty (3 will expire SIX (6) MONTH pplication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this comm DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.	
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>20 October 20</u>	<u>003</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.				erits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from o				
Applicati	on Papers					
,—	The specification is objected to by t					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ard					
	Applicant may not request that any obj				4 4047-15	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docur ional Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in App ments have been re cule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Sta	age	
Attachmen			, .			
2) Notice No	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fr No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)	
	1.00					

Art Unit: 2176

ε.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1) 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

1-1) Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-28 set forth non-functional descriptive material but fail to set forth physical structures or materials comprising of hardware or a combination of hardware and software within the technological arts (ie., a computer) to produce a "useful, concrete and tangible" result.

For example, Claims 1, the "method," claim 13, the "apparatus," and claim 17, the "server" read on a mental construct/abstract idea or at best a computer program, per se. The language such as "HTML," "instructions when executed causing a computing device," "server device," etc., do not clearly define structural elements and are not tangibly embodied on a computer readable medium. Claims 1-28 are interpreted as software per se, abstract ideas or mental construct and not tangibly embodied on a computer readable medium or hardware.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2) The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,733 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

2-1) Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 9, The phrase "standard escape notation" is unclear. Please expand for clarity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

3-1) Claims 1,2,3,4,5,6,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,24,25,26,27,28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Unger</u> et al (US 5991713, Nov 23, 1999).

Regarding claims 1, 13, 17, Unger teaches "accessing ... data" (ie., step 200 author identifies hypertext object ... HTML files ... compiler retrieves).

Unger teaches "simplifying ... during the simplification" (ie., compiler parses ... compresses the text ...)(col 8, lines 40-53).

Unger teaches "encoding ... data," and "storing ... data"(ie., compiler parses ... compresses ... Huffman)(ie., col 8, lines 35 – 53)(also, see section on col 8, line 55, "Compression and Storage Methods").

Regarding claims 2, 15, 27, Unger teaches "transmitting ... access to the HTML data" (ie., client requests ... remote server sends compiled information including compressed text ... to the client)(col 13, lines 39 – col 14, line 35).

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claims 3, 16, 28, Unger teaches "transmitting ... computing device" (ie., compressed text is sent from remote server to client)(col 14, lines 18-34).

Regarding claims 4, 14, 26, Unger teaches "HTML data ... web page" (ie., web page)(col 12, line 41).

Regarding claims 5, 18, Unger teaches "simplification ... space ..." (ie., white space)(col 10, lines 40-50).

Regarding claims 6, 19, Unger teaches "simplification ... HTML data" (ie., compression of text files ... encoding documents ... words or strings ...)(col 8, line 55 – col 10, line 67).

Regarding claim 11, Unger teaches "encoding ... Huffman ... HTML data" (col 8, line 52).

Regarding claim 12, Unger teaches "storing ... cache" (ie., cache with browser)(col 12, lines 45-50; fig 11, item 86, 88).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4-1) Claims 7, 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unger (as cited above), in view of Povilus (US 5740425, issued Apr 1998).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,733 Page 5

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claims 7, 20, Unger does not expressly teach, but Povilus teaches "simplification ... HTML data" (ie., normalizing SKU tables for catalogs; HTML data)(col 29, lines 30-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Unger to include normalizing tables for catalogs for HTML as taught by Povilus, providing the benefit of compression of SGML/HTML data on the internet (col 29, lines 30-55).

4-2) Claims 8, 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unger (as cited above), in view of Chanod et al (US 6393389, filed Sep 1999).

Regarding claims 8, 21, Unger does not expressly teach, but Chanod teaches "simplification ... HTML data" (ie., HTML tags formatted)(col 23, line 39)(ie., reorder tokens)(col 20, lines 10-11)(ie., rewriting rules ... reorder entries)(col 24, lines 40-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Unger to include HTML tags formatted and rewriting rules to reorder entries as taught in Chanod, providing the benefit of formatting information and logical structure such as HTML tags (col 10, lines 14-17).

4-3) Claims 9, 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Unger</u> (as cited above), in view of <u>Anderson</u> et al (US US 6021202, issued Feb 2000).

Regarding claims 9, 22, Unger does not expressly teach, but Anderson teaches "simplification ... escape notation" (ie., escape sequence ... ASCII formats)(col 19, lines 15-22).

Art Unit: 2176

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Unger to include escape sequence as taught in Anderson, providing the benefit of data compress (col 19, line 22) with HTML data (col 18, line 24).

4-4) Claims 10, 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Unger</u> (as cited above), in view of <u>Burrows</u> et al (US 5963954, issued Oct, 1999).

Regarding claims 10, 23, Unger does not teach, but Burrows teaches "simplification ... HTML data into a single byte" (ie., abc word stored as one or more bytes)(col 9, line 65 – col 10, line 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Unger to include storing words as one byte or more as taught in Burrows, providing the benefit of compression of data structures (col 4, lines 33-40) in extremely large and complex databases that are dispersed over millions of different computers all over the world (col 1, lines 22-60).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam Sain whose telephone number is 703-305-8777. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (703)305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER